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**Introduction**

Our collective response to climate change will define global health in the 21st century.

The concept of time windows is critical to the management of acute illness such as stroke or myocardial infarction: the earlier the treatment, the better the outcome. The speed and effectiveness of our response to climate change, the “biggest global health threat of the 21st century,” will similarly determine health outcomes, and the Lancet Countdown will track our progress and hold us to account.

A multidisciplinary partnership of 24 academic institutions from every continent, the Countdown will be publishing yearly data-driven reports between now and 2030, monitoring developments across a range of indicators on climate change health impacts, adaptation planning and resilience for health, mitigation actions and health co-benefits, economics and finance, and public and political engagement.

How can Canada best tackle climate change, thereby taking advantage of the “greatest global health opportunity of the 21st century?” Drawing on thought from Canada’s academic, policy, practice, and advocacy communities – these are our recommendations for 2017:

- Ensure funding of research and best practice information sharing between public health communities in different regions to fine tune adaptation capacity to severe weather events.
- Phase out coal-powered electricity in Canada by 2030 or sooner, with a minimum of two thirds of the power replaced by non-emitting sources, and any gap made up by lowest-emitting natural gas technology. Track and cost the health benefits of the transition in Canada and globally.
- Develop a National Active Transport Strategy for Canada to coordinate improvements to walking, cycling and transit environments. This should receive priority funding, with healthcare cost savings calculated in order to demonstrate the cost offset of the investments.
- Enhance support for tele-commuting and telehealth options.
Within health systems, gather and analyze data on kilometers, greenhouse gas emissions, air pollution and costs saved by telehealth in order to help drive systems change.

- Provide strong health-sector support for Health Canada’s draft healthy eating guidelines, which emphasize plant-based sources of protein, with framing of these guidelines as being beneficial for both human and planetary health.
- Increase funding for research into the local health impacts of resource extraction, with a focus on impacts on Indigenous populations.
- Integrate Health Impact Assessments as a core component of the federal Environmental Assessment process.
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About the Lancet Countdown

The Lancet Countdown: Tracking Progress on Health and Climate Change is a global, interdisciplinary research collaboration between 24 academic institutions and inter-governmental organisations. It monitors progress on the relationships between health and climate, and their implications for national governments, reporting annually. It was launched following the 2015 Lancet Commission on Health and Climate Change, which concluded that, left unmitigated, climate change will undermine 50 years of public health gains, whilst responding to it could represent “the greatest global health opportunity of the 21st century”.

The 2017 report presents data on the indicators selected following a consultation process in 2016. These span 5 domains, from impacts and adaptation to mitigation, and the economics and politics of climate action.

About the Canadian Public Health Association

The Canadian Public Health Association (CPHA) is the independent national voice and trusted advocate for public health, speaking up for people and populations to all levels of government. The CPHA champions health equity, social justice and evidence-informed decision-making. It also works to leverage knowledge, identify and address emerging public health issues, and connect diverse communities of practice. Furthermore, the CPHA promotes the public health perspective and evidence to government leaders and policy-makers. The CPHA is a catalyst for change that improves health and well-being for all.
Key Messages from the Countdown Report:

The central finding of the 2017 Lancet Countdown report is that, “The human symptoms of climate change are unequivocal and potentially irreversible — affecting the health of populations around the world, today. Whilst these effects will disproportionately impact the most vulnerable in society, every community will be affected.”

Heat waves are increasing; annual numbers of cases of dengue fever have doubled every decade since 1990; and 4400 people have already been identified for whom climate change, leading to changing ice conditions, permafrost melt, or coastal erosion, is the sole reason for migration. Drought-related rural-to-urban migration is already thought to have contributed to the conflict in Syria. The largest health impact of climate change in the 21st century is likely to be undernutrition.

Global inaction to prevent or mitigate climate change has put us on a ‘high-end’ emissions trajectory, pointing towards between 2.6°C and 4.8°C of global surface temperature warming by the end of the century — a level which could be disastrous to health even with maximal adaptation efforts. The Countdown states, “adaptation has limits, and prevention is better than cure to prevent potentially irreversible effects of climate change.” The Countdown has found evidence that a low-carbon transition has begun, with more people employed worldwide in renewable energy generation than in fossil fuel extraction. The Countdown states, “Whilst progress has historically been slow, the last five years have seen an accelerated response, and the transition to low-carbon electricity generation now appears inevitable.”
Climate-health in Canada:

As a developed circumpolar country, Canada sits at an intersection of climate-health impact and opportunity. The Mackenzie Valley region of Canada’s Northwest Territories is considered a global hotspot of warming, with observed temperatures in Inuvik having increased by 3°C in the past 50 years. Health Canada forecasts that Canada will experience, “generally warmer temperatures, shorter and milder winters, longer and hotter summers, more frequent and/or more intense severe weather events, such as hurricanes, thunderstorms, wildfires, floods and droughts.”

Health impacts vary by region, but include increased heat-related illness, such as heat stroke and death, higher pollen levels (with the potential to trigger more frequent and severe asthma exacerbations), worsened air pollution from wildfire smoke, and increased ground-level ozone resulting in increased heart and respiratory disease. Canada’s Arctic is seeing rapid change, bringing the potential for food insecurity due to decreased access to traditional foods, reduced safety of ice-based travel, and mental health impacts from changed landscapes. Impacts on agriculture and thus food security will be region-specific, varying from potentially helpful milder winters and increased precipitation to crop-damaging severe weather and drought. Additionally, Canadians can expect increased stress and displacement from disasters such as floods and wildfires, expansion in the range of certain vector-borne disease (including Lyme Disease), increased risk of water-borne disease following changed precipitation patterns, and greater exposure to higher levels of ultraviolet radiation.

Indicators of Climate-health in Canada:

This brief will provide information on a subset of Lancet Countdown indicators in the Canadian context, first with an overview of a number of indicators, then by treating several indicators in more depth. It thus represents a partial summary of progress on addressing climate-health issues in Canada.
Overview of Lancet Indicators in the Canadian Context:

Several Canadian public health units have completed city-level climate change risk assessments (Indicator 2.2), notably the excellent report by Toronto Public Health, which integrates the health and economic benefits of city-wide efforts to achieve an 80% reduction in greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 2050.\(^7\) Nationally, Canada has a chapter on human health in the 2014 Natural Resources publication, “From Impacts to Adaptation: Canada in a Changing Climate,” \(^6\) which serves as a solid start to a national assessment of vulnerability, impacts and adaptation for health (Indicator 2.5). Health Canada has also recently launched the next vulnerability and adaptation assessment to be released in 2021.\(^14\)

The Canadian Coalition for Green Healthcare is tracking the impact of climate change events on health infrastructure (Indicator 2.6).\(^15\)

Canada has also made some progress on indicators in the Countdown’s Economics and Finance Section. Indicator 4.3 tracks divestment from fossil fuels – an area in which the Canadian Medical Association (CMA) has shown considerable leadership, having voted for its financial arm, MD Financial, to create a fossil-free fund for investment by CMA members,\(^16\) and into which the CMA has transferred its own organizational funds.\(^17\) Indicator 4.7 tracks coverage and strength of carbon pricing revenues: carbon pricing has been implemented in multiple Canadian provinces, and Canada’s Pan-Canadian Framework on Clean Growth and Climate Change includes a commitment to pricing carbon pollution across the country by 2018.\(^18\)

Climate-health is starting to be covered in the media (Indicator 5.1), including reporting on the health impacts of disasters, such as wildfires,\(^19\)\(^,\)\(^20\) and the publication of occasional pieces using the climate-health frame.\(^21\)
Selected Indicators in the Canadian Context:

Climate Change Impacts, Exposures and Vulnerability

Indicator 1.4: Lethality of weather-related disasters

The Lancet Countdown states, “despite a 44% increase in annual weather-related disasters from 2000 to 2013, compared with the 1994-2000 average, there has been no accompanying increase in the number of deaths,” a finding that they suspect may signal the beginning of an adaptive response. Weather-related disasters that were included are droughts, floods, extreme temperature events, storms and wildfires. Health impacts reported included mortality, injuries, mental health impacts, spread of disease, and food and water insecurity.

Recent Canadian experience is notable for weather-related disasters. Previous publications estimate that a 2009 extreme heat event contributed to 156 excess deaths in British Columbia’s Lower Mainland, and that a 2010 heat event contributed to approximately 280 excess deaths in Quebec. Lancet Countdown data lists the flood of 2013 in Calgary as having impacted 100,000 people, and flooding in Manitoba in 2014 as having impacted 6,900. Wildfires have also had significant impacts in recent years. In 2014, Northwest Territories (NWT) wildfires left the NWT capital of Yellowknife cloaked in smoke for much of that summer. In 2015, 13,000 people were evacuated from La Ronge, Saskatchewan due to fires, representing the largest evacuation in Saskatchewan history. Multiple houses burnt and one firefighter died. A mandatory wildfire evacuation notice was issued in 2016 for the Alberta city of Fort McMurray, which necessitated the emergent evacuation of their hospital. Lancet Countdown data lists 88,000 people from Fort McMurray as being impacted. Two people died escaping the fires, and approximately 2,400 buildings were lost. This past summer, due to British Columbia (BC) wildfires, multiple evacuation notices were issued, including a mandatory evacuation order for Williams Lake and surrounding areas, impacting hospital facilities and forcing 24,000 people to leave their homes. As of August 1st, 2017, an estimated 300 buildings had been lost in BC.
Though wildfires are often considered in terms of local consequences such as burns, evacuations, mortality and post-traumatic stress disorder, they also generate smoke plumes that can travel vast distances, and which are of relevance to Indicator 3.5: Exposure to air pollution. Wildfire smoke is associated with increased use of asthma reliever medications, as well as more physician visits for asthma, obstructive pulmonary disease, bronchitis and pneumonia, and is thought to contribute significantly to increased mortality worldwide. Smoke exposure has also been associated with slightly reduced average birth weight among infants exposed in utero. Figure 1 shows increased Particulate Matter (PM) levels in Williams Lake, British Columbia during the 2017 severe summer forest fire season. Smoke from fires in northern BC spread into southern BC – including Vancouver–prompting air quality warnings, as well as into Alberta, contributing to air quality warnings in Calgary.

The projected increase in heat events, storms, floods and wildfires in Canada necessitated a coordinated approach to our adaptive response. This has begun: the public health community is sharing experiences doing research into these events, and publishing best-practice guidelines to help populations cope with these exposures.

**Policy Recommendation #1:** Ensure funding of research and best-practice information sharing between public health communities in different regions to fine-tune adaptation strategies to cope with severe weather events.
Mitigation Actions and Health Co-benefits

Coal Phase-Out

Meeting the Paris Agreement will require global GHG emissions to peak within the next few years, transition towards near zero-carbon emissions by 2050, and move to negative emissions in the latter part of the century. This can be thought of as needing to halve CO2 emissions every decade. Replacing coal-powered electricity with cleaner sources must be a cornerstone of these efforts: as the Lancet Countdown reports, “worldwide, coal supplies 30% of energy use and is the source of 44% of global CO2 emissions.” The Lancet Countdown found indications that after a period of growth from 1990 to 2015, global coal consumption has peaked and is now in decline.

Despite Canada having one of the world’s cleaner electricity grids, with 80% of its electricity coming from non-emitting sources, the electricity sector is still the fourth largest source of GHG’s in Canada, responsible for 8% of our emissions, 75% of which come from coal-power. Canadian near-term mitigation performance is discouraging: emissions are forecast to be 111 Mt above our 2020 target. Canadian scholars have suggested that in order to achieve emissions reductions of the magnitude required by 2050, Canada should target 100% reliance on low-carbon electricity by 2035, maximize energy efficiency, and electrify end-uses such as heating and transport – this would make possible an 80% decrease in greenhouse gas emissions by 2050. The Canadian government has set a goal of having 90% of Canada’s electricity coming from non-emitting sources by 2030.

As seen in Figure 2, there was a 41% decrease in share of electricity generation from coal from 1990-2013 in Canada, largely due to coal phase-out in Ontario. The Ontario coal phase-out was completed in 2014, and is estimated to have saved 30 Mt/yr in GHGs and contributed to a decrease in air-pollution-related deaths in Toronto estimated at 400 less premature deaths and 2,450 fewer hospitalizations per year as compared to 2004.
Canada currently has 34 coal power units operating in four provinces: Alberta, Saskatchewan, New Brunswick and Nova Scotia. Coal-fired electricity has considerable local health impacts. Air pollution from fine particulate matter (PM2.5), nitrogen oxides (NOx), sulfur dioxide (SO2), and other substances contributes to cardiovascular and respiratory diseases, including lung cancer, and is an important source of increased mortality. Additionally, coal power is a significant source of heavy metals, including mercury, which accumulate in the food chain and cause problems with neurological development in exposed fetuses and children. Extraction and processing of coal also contaminates water sources and leads to habitat loss. Given the local health impacts of coal power and its outsized contribution to the health impacts of climate change, phasing out coal power is one of the priority recommendations of the 2015 Lancet Climate and Health Commission and the 2017 Lancet Countdown.

A report by the Pembina Institute, which has been endorsed by the Canadian Public Health Association, estimates that an accelerated Canada-wide phase-out of coal-fired plants, where generators are shut down after 40 years of operation or by 2030 and which assumes the replacement of coal power by two thirds renewables and one third best-in-class gas-power, could yield an additional $5 billion in air pollution-related health benefits (see Table 1) as compared to the plan under previous regulations. Furthermore, it could also reduce GHGs by 31 Mt/year by 2030, and avoid a cumulative 418 Mt of GHG emissions between 2016 and 2035.

Figure 2: Share of electricity generation from coal in selected countries from 1990 to 2013. Data via the Lancet Countdown.
Table 1: Health impacts forecast to be avoided with a Canada-wide coal phase-out by 2030, assuming coal-fired generators are shut down after 40 years of operation or by 2030 and that two thirds of coal generation capacity is replaced by non-emitting renewable energies, and one third by lowest-emitting natural gas technology. From: Out with the Coal, In With the New, Used with Permission from the Pembina Institute.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health Impact</th>
<th>Canada</th>
<th>Prairie Canada</th>
<th>Central Canada</th>
<th>Atlantic Canada</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Premature deaths avoided</td>
<td>1,008</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER visits and hospitalization</td>
<td>871</td>
<td>748</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma episodes</td>
<td>128,800</td>
<td>111,387</td>
<td>11,130</td>
<td>6,282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days of breathing difficulty and reduced anxiety</td>
<td>3,031,125</td>
<td>2,677,573</td>
<td>249,001</td>
<td>104,551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of avoided health outcomes (2015 $M)</td>
<td>5,040</td>
<td>4,342</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The challenge for Canada moving forwards is to ensure that all of these elements are met. The federal government has committed to a 2030 phase-out, but allowed the economic life of coal-power plants to remain at 50 years instead of reducing it to 40 years as assumed above; this approach would leave many of the potential health benefits on the table. It is important to minimize the amount of natural gas used to replace coal-power. Methane, the primary component of natural gas, has 84 times the GHG potential of CO2 over a twenty year period, leading to near-term warming risks. Also, an increased proportion of natural gas in Canada is being produced via hydraulic fracturing, for which evidence is accumulating of negative impacts: a quantitative assessment of the peer-reviewed scientific evidence from 2009-2015 indicated that 84% of studies on public health, 69% of studies on water, and 87% showed concerning findings. One public health hazard should not be exchanged for another.
Zero-carbon emission electricity

Zero-carbon or low-carbon energy refers to electricity generation that has no GHG emissions at the point of energy production and use. It includes renewable sources as well as nuclear power, but excludes biomass. The Countdown found, “as a share of total generation, renewable energy has increased by over 20% from 1990 to 2013… Overall, there is now more added renewable generation capacity installed globally (almost 2000 GW) than coal, with about 80% of this newly installed capacity located in China.” The economics of renewable energy – particularly wind and solar – continue to improve, with electricity from lower-latitude solar PV actually being cheaper than from natural gas. When one considers, as the Countdown states, that “electric vehicles are poised to reach cost-parity with their petrol-based counterparts; and in 2016 global employment in renewable energy reached 9.8 million, over one million greater than that in fossil fuel extraction,” one gets a sense of the remarkable potential of this transition.

As shown in Figure 3, Canada’s production of zero-carbon electricity has essentially increased fast enough that its share of total electricity has remained relatively constant as electricity use has increased. As Canada moves to electrify end-uses, such as heating and transport, in order to decrease emissions in accordance with Paris Agreement objectives, requirements for zero-carbon sources of electricity will increase.

Policy Recommendation #2: Phase out coal-powered electricity in Canada by 2030 or sooner, with a minimum of two thirds of the power replaced by non-emitting sources, and any gap made up by lowest-emitting natural gas technology. Track and cost the health benefits of the transition in Canada and globally.
Sustainable Travel Infrastructure and Uptake

Moving from private motorized transport to public transport, walking and cycling in urban areas helps to decrease emissions from vehicles, as well as having substantial health benefits: commuting on foot or by bike has been shown to decrease cardiovascular mortality, and cycling has been shown to decrease all-cause mortality and mortality from cancer.\textsuperscript{53}

The PURE study, which was carried out in a range of low-, middle-, and high-income countries, also recently found that higher recreational and non-recreational physical activity (such as active transport) was associated with a lower risk of mortality and cardiovascular events.\textsuperscript{54}

Comparing modal transport rates reported in the Lancet Countdown’s Figure 3.12 to those of Canadian cities, as reported in the 2011 National Household Survey (Figure 4) demonstrates that even Vancouver, which performs well on the Canadian spectrum, is still at the lower end of sustainable travel rates as compared to the international examples.

Figure 4: Trend in modal share in selected cities (from the Lancet Countdown report)
Figure 5: Modal transport during work commute (data from 2011 National Household Survey)
Because most transit trips begin and end with walking, transit use is considered active travel: a Montreal study found that a public transit round trip averaged 2,500 steps, accounting for 25% of the physical activity recommended each day.6 Given that exercise reduces anxiety67 and depression,69 and that active travel has been shown to decrease air pollution and GHG emissions,69 supporting sustainable travel infrastructure and uptake is a high-yield public policy opportunity. The Countdown points out that, “Recent United Nations (UN) guidance recommends devoting 20% of transport budgets to funding non-motorized transport at national and local levels in low- and middle-income countries.”62

In addition to funding, opportunities to share best practices across Canada between cities of similar size, infrastructure and climate should be maximized in order to optimize active transport infrastructure, reduce urban sprawl, and create the most livable, walkable communities possible.

Telecommuting offers additional opportunities for sustainable travel. A recent Canadian study found that “working from home is associated with decreases in overall travel time by 14 minutes and increases in odds of non-motorised travel by 77%,”60 while a US study found that there may be health benefits for people who telecommute.61

An aspect of telecommuting of relevance to Lancet Countdown indicator 3.9 (healthcare sector emissions) is telemedicine. A study in Portugal found that teleconsultations resulted in reductions in distances and GHGs of 95%,62 while a Canadian study, which calculated the savings involved in 840 telemedicine consultations in Ontario, estimated savings of 185 tonnes of CO2 equivalents (CO2eq) in vehicle emissions, and 360,444 g of other air pollutants.63 Given that 282 teleconsultations involved northern Ontario residents who could claim compensation for distance traveled and accommodation, $192,665.88 CAD was saved in costs to the Northern Health Travel Grant program.63 Similarly, British Columbia’s Island Health estimates that it has saved 10.6 million km of patient travel and 2,900 tonnes of CO2eq between 2007 and end-July 2016.64 Telemedicine components including expanded electronic medical records and videoconferencing are being introduced in remote Canadian jurisdictions, such as the NWT and Labrador, but support for data collection is needed. Canadian estimates of health-system wide GHG emissions and targets for reduction are also required: the UK, for example, has monitored system-wide emissions from a 1992 baseline and “set an ambitious target of 34% health-system wide GHG emission reduction by 2020.”62 Data and targets drive change – and change is needed.

**Policy Recommendation #3:** Development of a National Active Transport Strategy for Canada to coordinate improvements to walking, cycling and transit environments. This should receive priority funding, with healthcare cost savings calculated in order to demonstrate the cost offset of the investments.

**Policy Recommendation #4:** Enhance support for telecommuting and telehealth options. Within health systems, gather and analyze data on kilometers, greenhouse gas emissions, air pollution and costs saved by telehealth in order to help drive systems change.
Ruminant Meat for Human Consumption

The Lancet Countdown tracks ruminant meat availability, assuming a correlation between availability and consumption. Ruminant meat accounts for high levels of GHG emissions, estimated at 5.6-7.5 GtCO2eq per year, much of it from cattle. Low-meat, plant-rich diets have been shown to decrease colorectal cancer and cardiovascular disease risk, modestly reduce all-cause mortality, and improve glycemic control among those with Type II Diabetes, as well as reduce water use, land use and GHGs to a median of 20-30% across studies in a recent review.

Using data constructed from UN Food and Agricultural Organization balance sheets, the Lancet Countdown found that the amount of ruminant meat available for human consumption globally has declined slightly from 1990-2013. Canada’s statistics follow this trend, with a gradual decrease since the mid-to-late 1970’s.

In a timely development for planetary health, the Government of Canada’s recent draft guiding principles for healthy eating recommend, “regular intake of vegetables, fruit, whole grains and protein-rich foods, especially plant-based sources of protein.”

Policy recommendation #5: Provide strong health-sector support for Health Canada’s draft healthy eating guidelines which emphasize plant-based sources of protein, with framing of these guidelines as being beneficial for both human and planetary health.
Land Use Case Study:

Local Health Impacts of Resource Extraction on Indigenous Communities

Rapid development of the Canadian oil sands and hydraulic fracturing over the past several decades, particularly in Alberta and British Columbia, has changed Canadian landscapes considerably, and generated a research lag regarding potential direct health impacts on local populations.

This is particularly relevant with regards to Indigenous communities, some of whom now express concerns that landscapes are fragmented to the extent that their traditional way of life is no longer possible, with adverse impacts on their culture and wellbeing.\(^68,70\)

One such group, the Blueberry River First Nations, sued the government of British Columbia in 2015, alleging it had breached Treaty 8, which promises that in exchange for opening their lands to settlement, they could continue their traditional way of life.\(^79\) A subsequent report by non-governmental organizations Ecotrust and the David Suzuki Foundation found that, “Based on government data, 73% of the area inside Blueberry River First Nations traditional territory is within 250 metres of an industrial disturbance, and 84% is within 500 metres of an industrial disturbance.”\(^71\)

In 2015, Canada’s Truth and Reconciliation Commission called on Canadians to identify and close the gaps in health disparities between Indigenous and non-Indigenous communities.\(^72\) An emerging commitment to do so is evident in the Canadian community, as seen in recent discussions about Indigenous health at the Canadian Medical Association, and the appointment of a Federal Minister of Indigenous Services, tasked with Indigenous health provision. An important part of this wave is research-related: committing to investigate the resource-related concerns of our Indigenous communities in a manner consistent with Canada’s considerable epidemiologic, toxicological and medical research expertise.

Another important element of reconciliation and planetary health moving forwards is to ensure that health impact assessments (HIAs) are integrated as a core component of the federal Environmental Assessment process. These HIAs must take a determinants of health approach; position sustainability and equity as key pillars; require the establishment of baseline health and community well-being prior to development; and involve Indigenous communities, and the federal government (Health Canada, Public Health Agency of Canada, Employment and Social Development Canada as well as Environment and Climate Change Canada) as key decision-makers. Where there are considerable and reasonable scientific uncertainties with potential for serious present or future harm, the UNESCO 2005 definition of the precautionary principle should be used to inform the discussion, as outlined in a submission by health organizations including the Canadian Public Health Association and the Canadian Association of Physicians for the Environment.\(^75\)

An encouraging case study into new practices is, the ECHO-Environment, Community, Health, Observation network. It is a 5-year research program, funded by a Canadian Institute of Health Research Team Grant, focused on “working together across sectors to take notice of--and respond to--the influences of resource development on health and well-being, with specific emphasis on rural, remote and Indigenous communities,”\(^76\) including in the area of the Blueberry River First Nations.
A multidisciplinary project that seeks to honour different types of knowledge, and work towards an understanding of the cumulative impacts of resource development, the ECHO project is the type of inquiry needed to help us understand the health complexities of our current energy system, so we may best design the one to come.

Policy Recommendation #6: Increase funding for research into the local health impacts of resource extraction, with a focus on impacts on Indigenous populations.

Policy Recommendation #7: Integrate Health Impact Assessments as a core component of the federal Environmental Assessment process.

Figure 7: The ECHO Framework. Used with permission from the research team.
WHO UNFCCC Climate and Health Country Profiles

The WHO UNFCCC Climate and Health Country Profiles form the foundation of WHO’s national level provision of information, and monitoring of progress in climate change and health. The climate and health country profiles, first published in 2015, are developed in collaboration with ministries of health and health determining sectors with the aim of empowering Ministers of Health to engage, advocate and act to protect health from climate change. The most recent and relevant scientific evidence from the health, environment and meteorological communities is presented to highlight country-specific climate hazards and the potential health impacts facing populations. National action on health adaptation and mitigation is reported in the profiles and opportunities to promote actions that improve health while reducing carbon emissions are presented. For more information on the WHO UNFCCC Climate and Health Country Profiles please visit the [website](http://www.lancetcountdown.org/) and watch the [introductory video](http://www.lancetcountdown.org/).
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