

PUBLIC HEALTH INTERVENTION REPORTS

Points to Consider in Peer Review

File No.:

Title:

Return Date:

Word Count:

Note: Word Limit for CJPH Text (does not include Abstract, Tables, Figures, References): 2000 words

When reviewing the enclosed manuscript, you may find it useful to consider the following:

Introduction

1. Has the author clearly identified the issue that prompted development of this intervention?
 Yes Improvement required No
2. Is the issue addressed by the intervention pertinent to public health?
 Yes Improvement required No
3. Is the appropriate literature reviewed?
 Yes Improvement required No
4. Are the goals/objectives of the intervention clearly stated?
 Yes Improvement required No

Participants, setting and intervention

Target Population

5. Is the target population clearly specified, and is this population appropriate for the intervention?
 Yes Improvement required No
6. Is the method used to reach the target population described?
 Yes Improvement required No

Setting

7. Is the context of the study clear?
 Yes Improvement required No

Public Health Intervention

8. Is the intervention clearly described?
 Yes Improvement required No
9. Is the preliminary evaluation method described?
 Yes Improvement required No

10. Are meaningful outcomes measured?
 Yes Improvement required No

Results

11. Is a descriptive profile of participants given?
 Yes Improvement required No
12. Is the level of participation noted?
 Yes Improvement required No
13. Are successes and challenges of delivering the intervention described?
 Yes Improvement required No
14. Are the outcomes appropriately described?
 Yes Improvement required No

Discussion

15. Are the major findings highlighted?
 Yes Improvement required No
16. Are findings discussed with reference to existing knowledge?
 Yes Improvement required No
17. Are strengths and weaknesses considered?
 Yes Improvement required No
18. Are the lessons learned from the intervention discussed?
 Yes Improvement required No
19. Are implications for future initiatives explored?
 Yes Improvement required No

What is your recommendation for the manuscript? (Please check one of the following)

- _____ Publish as is, high priority.
_____ Publish as is, not a high priority.
_____ Return to author(s) for revision. (Specify nature of revision).
_____ Advise submission to some other journal. (Please specify).
_____ Reject manuscript.

COMMENTS

Your comments under these headings and other comments that you consider appropriate to the article under review will help us to reach a decision about publishing the manuscript. We encourage you to provide further comments on additional page(s).

Please do not concern yourself with correcting typographical or grammatical errors as these will be caught by the Editorial Office staff. Thank you for your assistance.